

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,100	11/17/2003	Dick C. Hardt	SXIP-015PUS	9784
25744 7591 022772099 PERLEY-ROBERTSON, HILL & MCDOUGALL LLP 1400-340 Albert Street			EXAMINER	
			OSMAN, RAMY M	
OTTAWA, OI CANADA	N K IR 0A5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/713,100 HARDT, DICK C. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	

		RAWIT W. OSWAN	2437	
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe r Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA some of more may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR + 138 countries of the provisions of 37 CPR + 138 countries of the provisions of 37 CPR + 138 countries of the provision of 37 CPR + 138 countries of the provision of 57 CPR + 138 countries of the provision of the	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Jan	nuary 2009.		
	/=	action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Expenses.	•		merits is
Dispositio	on of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4	(4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)□ 1	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in	Application No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	•	n received in this National	Stage
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		t received.	
Attachment				
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

Application/Control Number: 10/713,100 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

 This action is responsive to amendment filed on January 28, 2009, where applicant amended claim 1. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 1/28/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that Levosky does not teach the amended limitation of "in a predefined format".

In reply, the amended limitation is broad and fails to impart any significant change of scope so as to overcome the Levosky reference. "a predefined format" can simply be interpreted as being a text format. In this case the "note" of Levosky is in a text or alphanumeric format (i.e. predefined format).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1,2,4-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levosky (US Patent No 7,054,906.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,100

Art Unit: 2457

6. In reference to claim 1, Levosky teaches a pseudonymous email address generator for generating a pseudonymous email address associated with an email address, the generator comprising:

a request interface, for receiving a pseudonymous email address generation request from an identity management system(column 4 lines 20-25 and column 4 line 63 – column 5 line 20), the request containing website identification information in a pre-defined format (column 4 lines 49-57); and

a processor for executing stored instructions to provide a pseudonymous email address creator for creating a pseudonymous email address associated with a mail server in response to the received pseudonymous email address generation request (column 4 line 63 – column 5 line 5), for associating the pseudonymous email address with an email address (column 4 lines 27-32 and column 5 lines 4-20), for providing the created pseudonymous email address and its associated email address to the mail server associated with the pseudonymous email address (column 3 line 65 – column 4 line 3) for providing the created pseudonymous email address to the identity management system (column 5 lines 19-25) and for storing the website identification information with the associated pseudonymous email address to allow centralized analysis (column 4 lines 63-67). (see also Levosky, claim 1 steps a-c)

However, Levosky fails to explicitly teach that the note/message is a "website identification information". This is just a slight difference from "identification information" (which is already taught by Levosky) and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. If a user desired to create an alias address to be submitted to a particular website, for shopping or

Art Unit: 2457

subscription purposes etc., then it would be obvious to identify the particular website in the note/message so that the user can later be reminded what that alias address is associated with.

- 7. In reference to claim 2, Levosky teaches the generator of claim 1 including a mail server interface for receiving from the pseudonymous email address creator both the pseudonymous email address and the email address associated with the pseudonymous email address, and for providing them to the mail server associated with the pseudonymous email address in a predetermined format (column 5 lines 27-48).
- 8. In reference to claim 4, Levosky teaches the generator of claim 1 wherein the pseudonymous email address creator includes means for defining properties of the pseudonymous email address, the properties selected from a list including a friendly name associated with the pseudonymous email address, a management link and corresponding attachment method and the email address the pseudonymous email address is associated with, and for providing the defined properties to the associated mail server (column 4 lines 25-40 and column 8 lines 35-50).
- 9. In reference to claim 5, Levosky teaches the generator of claim 1 further including a rules engine for defining a set of routing rules in accordance with requests received by the request interface, for associating the defined set of rules with pseudonymous email addresses generated by the pseudonymous email address creator, and for providing the created set of rules to the mail server associated with the pseudonymous email address (column 7 lines 1-8 and column 8 line 62 column 9 line 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,100

Art Unit: 2457

10. In reference to claim 6, Levosky teaches the generator of claim 5 wherein the rules engine further includes means for defining the routing rules in accordance with a set of parameters defined in the pseudonymous email address generation request (column 8 line 62 – column 9 line 12).

- 11. In reference to claim 7, Levosky teaches the generator of claim 5 wherein the rules engine further includes means for defining the routing rules in accordance with a set of default parameters (column 8 line 62 column 9 line 12).
- 12. In reference to claim 8, Levosky teaches the generator of claim 7 wherein the default parameters are dependent upon a requester identifier associated with the pseudonymous email address generation request (column 8 line 62 column 9 line 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levosky (US Patent No 7,054,906) in view of Rounthwaite et al (US Patent Publication No 2004/0177110).

Levosky teaches the generator of claim 1 further including a request analysis engine for receiving from the request interface an indication of the destination of the requested alias (column 8 lines 30-60). Levosky fails to explicitly teach for determining in accordance with the

Application/Control Number: 10/713,100

Art Unit: 2457

indication that the email address associated with the pseudonymous email address is a honeypot address. However, Rounthwaite discloses honeypot addresses for the purpose of trapping and detecting spam (¶ 72-74). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Levosky by determining in accordance with the indication that the email address associated with the pseudonymous email address is a honeypot address as per the teachings of Rounthwaite for the purpose of trapping and detecting spam.

Conclusion

- 15. The above rejections are based upon the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims. Applicant is advised that the specified citations of the relied upon prior art, in the above rejections, are only representative of the teachings of the prior art, and that any other supportive sections within the entirety of the reference (including any figures, incorporation by references, claims and/or priority documents) is implied as being applied to teach the scope of the claims.
- 16. Applicant may not introduce any new matter to the claims or to the specification. For any subsequent response that contains new/amended claims, Applicant is required to cite its corresponding support in the specification. (See MPEP chapter 2163.03 section (I.) and chapter 2163.04 section (I.) and chapter 2163.06)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMY M. OSMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2457

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ramy M Osman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457 February 26, 2009